2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



HOWARD HANSON MARIN COUNTY CLERK by P. Fan, Deputy

Laurie J. Bartilson **BOWLES & MOXON** 6255 Sunset Boulevard Suite 2000 Hollywood, California 90028 (213) 661-4030

Attorneys for Plaintiff CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

152229

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, a California not-for-profit religious corporation; Plaintiff,

Case No.

DECLARATION OF ANDREW H. WILSON IN SUPPORT OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

VS. GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, Defendants.

Date: February 14, 1992 Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept.: 2

ANDREW H. WILSON deposes and says:

- My name is Andrew H. Wilson and I am one of the attorneys 1. responsible for the representation of the Plaintiff in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and could competently testify thereto if called as a witness.
- The object of this action is to enforce, and recover for the breach of, the provisions of a settlement agreement ("the Agreement") entered into between Plaintiff and Defendant on December That Agreement provided for mutual release of various 6, 1986.

claims between Plaintiff and Defendant, the payment of an unspecified sum to Defendant, and contained various promises on the part of the Defendant. The most important of these promises were:

(1) that the Agreement would be confidential and its contents would not be disclosed; (2) that the Defendant would not assist persons engaged in litigation adverse to Plaintiff and would, himself, not attack Plaintiff or related individuals and entities; that the Defendant would not participate voluntarily in proceedings against Plaintiff unless compelled to do so by lawful subpoena or the process; and (3) that Defendant would maintain strict confidentiality and silence with respect to his experiences with Plaintiff and with respect to any knowledge or information he might have concerning the founder of the Scientology religion, L. Ron Hubbard.

Despite these promises, Defendant breached the confidentiality provisions of the Agreement on at least three separate occasions by providing it to counsel representing the parties involved in lawsuits against the Plaintiff: First, on March 19, 1990, the Agreement was attached as Exhibit D to a motion filed against Plaintiff herein in Corydon v. The Church of Scientology International, Inc., et al., LASC No. 694401 (Exhibit C, Motion only); second, on September 3, 1991, the Agreement was attached as Exhibit A to a declaration of the Defendant Gerald Armstrong in Asneran v. Church of Scientology of California, et al., United States District Court for the Central District of California, No. CV 088-1786-JMI (Exhibit D, Declaration only); third, on July 19, 1991 the Agreement was filed as Exhibit 1 to a declaration of Gerald Armstrong dated July 16, 1991, filed in Religious Technology Center, et al. v. Joseph Yanny, LASC No. DC 033035.

28

13

17 18

16

19 20

21

23

22

2425

27

26

28

- In addition, Armstrong has been involved in numerous other 4. breaches of the Agreement, including aiding persons engaged in litigation against Plaintiff, publicizing his experiences with Plaintiff and his alleged knowledge of L. Ron Hubbard, and engaging in other activities which are in breach of the Agreement. activities are the subject of a Motion for Preliminary Injunction to be filed concurrently with the filing of the Complaint. A copy of the Complaint to be filed in this action and the various pleadings and papers to be filed in support of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction present in greater detail the facts and legal authority for the entry of an order of preliminary injunction. These are submitted concurrently herewith for the convenience of the Court in considering the instant application, which should be granted for the same reasons set forth in those pleadings and papers.
- 5. Defendant's breaches of the Settlement Agreement are continuing. With each continuing breach, more damages accrue. In addition, because of the nature of the breaches, it is highly likely that not all of them will be discovered. Even if the breaches are discovered, it is unlikely that the damages which flow from those breaches can be assessed with any degree of accuracy. For this reason, an expeditious hearing of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is necessary. I will be out of the area the week of February 17 21 and, for that reason, request that this hearing be set no later than Friday, February 14, 1992.
- 6. Plaintiff had previously applied for an Order sealing the entire file prior to the filing of the Complaint, and for an Order shortening time on the hearing for Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and for an Order for permission to file a

brief in excess of 15 pages. Those applications were heard before the Honorable William Stephens of this Court on Friday, January 31, 1992. Ford Greene, Esq. appeared on behalf of Defendant and approved said applications. Judge Stephens declined to issue his Order sealing the file, and for that reason the Complaint was not filed and the other two applications were not considered.

On Monday, February 3, 1992 at approximately 3:30 p.m., I telephoned counsel for Defendant, Ford Greene, to inform him that I would be making the instant application. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A is a letter which by telecopy to Mr. Greene on Monday, February 3, 1992 confirmed the above telephone conversation.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed on February 4, 1992 at San Francisco, California.

ANDREW H. WILSON

DECL.AHW